

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ,	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,855	07/14/2003	Kevin J. Harrington	32157-101 US 3326	
26486 RURNS & I F	7590 01/24/2008 VINSON LLP		EXAMINER	
BURNS & LEVINSON, LLP 125 SUMMER STREET			SAADAT, CAMERON	
BOSTON, MA	X 02110		. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3714	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/618,855	HARRINGTON, KEVIN J.
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cameron Saadat	3714
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) Cameron Saadat.	(3)	•
(2) Kathleen Chapman.	(4)	,
Date of Interview: 16 January 2008.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representa	ative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>10 and 12</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Larsen, pyle.	•	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h)[⊠ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Shee</u>		d to if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	copy of the amendments th	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	ne last Office action has alre R OF ONE MONTH OR THI ITERVIEW SUMMARY FOR	ady been filed, APPLICANT IS RTY DAYS FROM THIS RM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	_ Cames	Landet
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's s	signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: With respect to claim 10, Applicant's representative emphasized that Larsen does not disclose an information modifier as claimed, arguing that Larson merely allows adding of information and does not allow modification of existing data. The examiner indicated that the claimed information modifier is broadly described with functional language, "capable of allowing modification...". Applicant's representative additionally emphasized that modification can be made on the fly, and indicated that she would consider possible amendments to the claim to reflect this difference. Regarding claim 12, applicant's representative emphasized that Pyle fails to teach the claimed feature of a "dispatcher capable of tasking the responding contacts". The examiner indicated that further consideration would be given to this argument. The examiner additionally recommended amending claim 12 to provide a positive recitation of the tasking feature instead of the current functional language. No agreement was reached on patentability.